A Cosmic Selection Rule for Glueball Dark Matter Relic Density

Amarjit Soni,[1,*] Huangyu Xiao,[2,†] and Yue Zhang[3,‡]

[1] *Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA*
[2] *Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China*
[3] *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA*

We point out a unique mechanism to produce the relic abundance for glueball dark matter from a gauged $SU(N)_d$ hidden sector which is bridged to the standard model sector through heavy vectorlike quarks colored under gauge interactions from both sides. A necessary ingredient of our assumption is that the vectorlike quarks, produced either thermally or non-thermally, are abundant enough to dominate the universe for some time in the early universe. They later undergo dark color confinement and form unstable vectorlike-quarkonium states which annihilate decay and reheat the visible and dark sectors. The ratio of entropy dumped into two sectors and the final energy budget in the dark glueballs is only determined by low energy parameters, including the intrinsic scale of the dark $SU(N)_d$, Λ_d, and number of dark colors, N_d, but depend weakly on parameters in the ultraviolet such as the vectorlike quark mass or the initial condition. We call this a cosmic selection rule for the glueball dark matter relic density.

arXiv:1704.02347v2 [hep-ph] 24 Apr 2017

INTRODUCTION

A candidate for DM (DM) in the universe is the lightest glueball from a dark sector with pure $SU(N)_d$ gauge symmetry. Such a setup offers a natural explanation of the DM mass scale through dimensional transmutation. It is also extremely simple; the glueball DM properties only depend on two parameters, the $SU(N)_d$ intrinsic scale, Λ_d, and the number of dark colors, N_d [1]. Moreover, the interactions of glueball DM could offer a series of phenomena allowing it to be examined in cosmology and astrophysics. They include self-interacting and warm DM scenarios [1–4], indirect detection signatures when the glueball DM decays into the standard model (SM) particles [5], and even the formation of compact stars as the source of gravitational waves [6, 7]. For the above reasons, such a DM candidate has been often revisited in recent years.

Among the many aspects of glueball DM, its production mechanism in the early universe has been less explored. Due to gauge invariance, higher dimensional operators are needed for such a dark sector to communicate with the SM sector. This implies that the abundance of glueball DM, if ever related to that of the SM sector, would be highly sensitive to the detailed high scale physics, or the initial conditions [8–12]. One could resort to ultraviolet (UV) complete models by introducing new particles and portals between the dark and SM sectors. However, if the universe had been hot enough and a thermal equilibrium established between the two sectors, the glueball DM much heavier than neutrinos would be easily overproduced because they are in the form of dark gluons and relativistic when decoupling from the SM sector.

In this paper, we point out a novel glueball DM production mechanism. We first consider the case where the dark and SM sectors thermalize in the early universe through a pair of vectorlike quarks colored under both the dark $SU(N)_d$ and the strong interaction $SU(3)_c$. The key to produce correct relic abundance of the glueball DM is to have the vectorlike quarks first freeze out and later become the dominant species in the energy density of the universe. Such a matter dominated phase will end when the vectorlike quarks in the universe is diluted enough and dark confinement happens binding them into composite states neutral under $SU(N)_d$. For large enough N_d, the most formed composite states are the vectorlike-quarkonium (which we will call Q-onium) states made of Q and $\bar{Q}$. They are unstable and will quickly decay away, via $Q\bar{Q}$ annihilation, into quarks, gluons, and dark gluons. This will produce entropy and reheat the two sectors according to the Q-onium branching ratios, afterwards the standard cosmology in the SM sector could begin. Interestingly, we find that the resulting glueball DM relic abundance is mainly determined by low energy parameters including the intrinsic scale Λ_d and number of dark colors N_d, but depends very weakly on detailed high scale physics such as the vectorlike quark mass. More generally, we also argue that, as long as the vectorlike quark dominated universe occurs, the very same conclusion would still hold for non-thermal initial conditions.

MODEL

We consider a dark sector, which at low energy scale is made of a pure $SU(N)_d$ gauge symmetry, with an intrinsic scale Λ_d where the dark gauge coupling goes strong. The DM candidate within this setup is the lightest dark glueball state, ϕ, which is assumed to be a scalar particle based on existing lattice studies [13–16]. If this is a completely isolated dark sector, ϕ could serve as the DM candidate. With a mass below 10^7 GeV, it can be cosmologically stable against decaying into two gravitons [1].

Because of gauge invariance, it is possible for such a dark sector to talk to the SM particles through higher

dimensional operators, which could be generated by integrating out the high scale physics that bridges the two sectors. In this work, our goal is to establish a theoretical connection between the relic abundance of such a glueball DM, and that of the SM sector, which is insensitive to the cutoff scale of any higher dimensional operators. To this end, we resort to a UV complete model by introducing vectorlike quarks Q, $\bar{Q}$ which play the role as bridge particles. Their quantum numbers under the $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times SU(N)_d$ gauge groups are

$$Q \in (3, 2, 1/6, N_d), \quad \bar{Q} \in (\bar{3}, \bar{2}, -1/6, \bar{N}_d) \ . \tag{1}$$

With $Q, \bar{Q}$ and their gauge interactions, it is possible to build a thermal contact between the two sectors in the early universe, when the temperature is high enough.

The presence of the vectorlike quarks opens a new decay channel of the glueball DM ϕ into two photons at loop level. The decay rate is [5]

$$\Gamma_{\phi\to\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_d^2 \alpha^2 (N_d^2-1) m_\phi^2 F_\phi^2}{2^9 3^4 \pi m_Q^8} \ . \tag{2}$$

The factor $F_\phi \equiv \langle 0|\frac{1}{2} G_d^{a\mu\nu} G^a_{d\mu\nu}|\phi\rangle$ and G_d is the field strength of the dark gluon field. Through naive dimensional analysis and large N power counting, $F_\phi \sim N m_\phi^3$. Hereafter, we will focus dark glueball mass below GeV scale, thus the hadronic decay channels are much less important. The dark gauge coupling $\alpha_d = g_d^2/(4\pi)$ is evaluated at the mass scale m_Q,

$$\alpha_d(m_Q) = \frac{6\pi}{11 N_d \log(m_Q^2/\Lambda^2)} \ . \tag{3}$$

Requiring the above radiative decay lifetime to satisfy the present constraints on gamma-ray or X-ray injection from DM decay $\tau_{\phi\to\gamma\gamma} \gtrsim 10^{28}\,\mathrm{sec}$, we find a lower bound on the mass of Q,

$$m_Q \gtrsim 340\,\mathrm{GeV} \times \left(\frac{m_\phi}{1\,\mathrm{MeV}}\right)^{\frac{9}{8}} \left(\frac{N_d}{10^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \ , \tag{4}$$

where we have made the approximation that the logarithmic factor in α_d is of order 1.

COSMOLOGY

For the early universe cosmology, we choose to first describe a thermal history. We will generalize the picture to be discussed to non-thermal initial conditions by the end of the next section.

To begin with, we consider the temperature of the universe high enough and the SM sector, dark sector and the vectorlike quarks are all in thermal equilibrium with each other. This can be achieved through the annihilation processes $Q\bar{Q} \leftrightarrow gg,\ q\bar{q},\ g'g'$, where $g\,(q)$ is the gluon (quark) in the SM sector and g' is the gluon in the dark $SU(N)_d$ sector. The condition for keeping all the particles in thermal equilibrium is, $\Gamma_{Q\bar{Q}\to f} = n_Q \left\langle (\sigma v_{\rm rel})_{Q\bar{Q}\to f} \right\rangle \gtrsim H$, for all channels $f = \{gg,\ q\bar{q},\ g'g'\}$, where $\langle\rangle$ means thermal averaging of the cross section times relative velocity. For $T > m_Q$, we have $\Gamma_{Q\bar{Q}\to f} \propto T$ and the Hubble parameter $H \propto T^2$. Therefore, to ensure thermalization happens, it is sufficient to require the above condition to be satisfied around $T \sim m_Q$.

As the temperature falls below m_Q, the vectorlike quarks will freeze out by annihilating into both sectors. The relevant S-wave cross sections, when $T \ll m_Q$, take the forms

$$\begin{aligned} &(\sigma v_{\rm rel})_{Q\bar{Q}\to gg} = \frac{7\pi\alpha_S^2}{54 N_d m_Q^2}, \quad (\sigma v_{\rm rel})_{Q\bar{Q}\to q\bar{q}} = 6\times\frac{\pi\alpha_S^2}{9 N_d m_Q^2}, \\ &(\sigma v_{\rm rel})_{Q\bar{Q}\to g'g'} = \frac{(N_d^2-1)(N_d^2-2)\pi\alpha_d^2}{48 N_d^3 m_Q^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where both α_S and α_d are to be evaluated at the scale m_Q. It is worth noting that for $m_Q \gg \Lambda_d$, α_d goes as $\sim N_d^{-1}$ based on the RG equation, Eq. (3). As a result, all the above cross sections are proportional to N_d^{-1} in the large N_d limit, and the annihilation processes into both SM and dark sectors will freeze out around the same epoch. We have neglected the $Q\bar{Q}$ annihilation into two SM electroweak gauge bosons which are subdominant to the two gluon final state channel because of the smaller gauge couplings. The Boltzmann equation governing the freeze out of $Q, \bar{Q}$ can be written as

$$\frac{H}{s}\frac{dY_Q}{d\ln z} = \sum_f \left\langle (\sigma v_{\rm rel})_{Q\bar{Q}\to f} \right\rangle \left[Y_Q^2 - \left(Y_Q^{eq}\right)^2 \right] \ , \tag{6}$$

where $z = m_Q/T$, s is the entropy density of the universe receiving contribution from both sectors, the yield is defined as $Y_Q = n_Q/s$, and n_Q is the number density of Q particles. We assume no CP violation thus $Y_{\bar{Q}} = Y_Q$. At $T \gtrsim m_Q$, the number densities of Q and $\bar{Q}$, which are still in thermal equilibrium, take the form

$$n_Q = n_{\bar{Q}} = 12 N_d \times \frac{m_Q^2 T}{2\pi^2} K_2\left(\frac{m_Q}{T}\right) \ . \tag{7}$$

They serve as the initial conditions for solving the above Boltzmann equation.

Right after freeze out, the number of $Q, \bar{Q}$ are Boltzmann suppressed compared to the radiation species in the SM and dark sectors. Around this time, the two sectors share the same temperature $\mathcal{T}_f = T_f$ (hereafter we use $\mathcal{T}$ (T) to denote the dark (SM) sector temperature). Their energy densities are

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_{Q+\bar{Q}} = 2 m_Q Y_Q(T_f) s(T_f) \ , \\ &\rho_{\rm SM} = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g_*^{\rm SM} T_f^4 \ , \quad \rho_{\rm d} = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g_*^{\rm d} T_f^4 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $g_*^{\rm SM} = 106.75$ and $g_*^{\rm d} = 2(N_d^2 - 1)$. As a convention, we define the universe expansion parameter corresponding to this temperature ($\mathcal{T}_f = T_f$), $a_f = 1$. Afterwards, the interactions between the two sectors can only go through off-shell $Q, \bar{Q}$ and quickly fall out of thermal equilibrium, so the two sectors will evolve with their own temperatures.

Next, we are interested in the fate of the vectorlike quarks $Q, \bar{Q}$ particles. After freeze out, they are already non-relativistic and their energy density $\rho_{Q+\bar{Q}}$ redshifts as a^{-3}, where a is the expansion parameter of the universe. In contrast, $\rho_{\rm SM}$ and $\rho_{\rm d}$ redshifts faster, as a^{-4}. As a result, it is possible for $\rho_{Q+\bar{Q}}$ to come into dominance of the universe at a later stage. With our setup, the vectorlike quarks are stable particles. The only way to deplete them from the universe is to have Q and $\bar{Q}$ find each other to annihilate. Well after the thermal freeze out, this could happen only because they are colored under the dark $SU(N)_d$ gauge group. Once their number densities fall below Λ_d^3, the dark confinement will take place and the Q and $\bar{Q}$ particles will bind into color singlet states under $SU(N)_d$. [1] We call the expansion parameter corresponding to this event, a_c. It could be solved using

$$a_c = \frac{1}{\Lambda_d} \left(2 Y_Q(T_f) s(T_f) \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \ . \tag{9}$$

The corresponding dark sector temperature is $\mathcal{T}_c = T_f / a_c$, while the SM may have experienced a change in the degrees of freedom after freeze out, and its temperature T_c could be obtained by solving the entropy conservation $g_{*S}^{\rm SM}(T_f) T_f^3 = g_{*S}^{\rm SM}(T_c) T_c^3 a_c^3$.

For large N_d, it is much more likely for the $Q, \bar{Q}$ particles to pair up and form Q-onium bound states rather than having N_d of Q's to form baryonic states. [2] Here for simplicity, we consider they all settle down to the spin-zero Q-onium ground state, η_Q, which is a singlet under both $SU(N)_d$ and $SU(3)_c$, [3, 4] before the annihilation happens. Because $m_Q \gg \Lambda_d$, η_Q is a non-relativistic bound state and its decay rates into gluons in the two sectors are

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\eta_Q \to gg} &= \frac{N_d (N_c^2 - 1) \alpha_S^2}{4 N_c m_Q^2} |R(0)|^2 \ , \\ \Gamma_{\eta_Q \to g' g'} &= \frac{N_c (N_d^2 - 1) \alpha_d^2}{4 N_d m_Q^2} |R(0)|^2 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $R(0)$ is the radial part of the bound state wavefunction at the origin, and again α_S and α_d are to be evaluated at the scale m_Q. In the decay rates, we show the N_c ($= 3$) and N_d dependence on an equal footing. Here we have neglected the decay of η_Q due to electroweak interactions, assuming they are subdominant. At leading order, η_Q also cannot decay into $q\bar{q}$ via a gluon because it is a color singlet. For large N_c and N_d, the ratio of the two decay rates is $r \equiv \Gamma_{\eta \to gg} / \Gamma_{\eta \to g' g'} \simeq \alpha_S^2 / \alpha_d^2$, with all the color pre-factors cancelled out. It is important to notice that r is independent of the vectorlike quark mass m_Q. The ratio of energy dumped into the two sectors is dictated by the ratio of decay rates, which leads to a "selection rule", $r \sim N_d^2$. Counter-intuitively, the dark sector gets less reheated when it contains more degrees of freedom in the presence of a large N_d. Such a power counting can be understood from the number of closed color loops in the decay amplitude square, as depicted in Fig. 1.



FIG. 1. Squared diagram of $\eta_Q \to g' g'$ decay (first line), and the number of closed color loops from contracting the vectorlike quark and dark gluon lines in the large N_c, N_d limit (second line). In this process, because both η_Q and $g'g'$ are QCD singlets, the QCD color indices carried by $Q, \bar{Q}$ are closed into two disconnected loops, as indicated by the left part of the second line. On the other hand, the $g'g'$ final states are not necessarily a singlet under the dark $SU(N)_d$. In the large N_d limit, each of the dark gluons can be represented by a double line. As a result, the dark color indices carried by $Q, \bar{Q}$ can propagate through the g' and they are closed into two loops with a different topology, as shown by the right part of the second line. Taking into account of the bound state normalization factor $1/\sqrt{N_c N_d}$, this decay rate is proportional to $N_c N_d \alpha_d^2$. Similarly, the $\eta_Q \to gg$ decay rate is proportional to $N_c N_d \alpha_s^2$. These power countings agree with our explicit calculation Eq. (10).

[1] There is also the QCD phase transition and $SU(3)_c$ color confinement which could happen before the dark sector one, but because there are many more SM quarks than the heavy vectorlike quarks in the universe, it is much more likely for Q (or $\bar{Q}$) to find a light quark (or antiquark) than finding each other to become color neutral.

[2] There are strong constraints on the relic abundance of dark baryonic states that are stable, carrying electromagnetic charge [17]. However, for the very large values of N_d of interest to this work, the precise impact of these constraints is not immediately clear to us.

[3] If the $Q\bar{Q}$ form an octet state under $SU(3)_c$ the strong interaction potential is repulsive and bound state might not form. On the other hand, the excited Q-onium states could de-excite down to η_Q by radiating soft dark gluons.

[4] There is also the spin triplet state Υ_Q, which is typically heavier than η_Q due to the hyperfine splitting. We neglect it along with the other excited states in our following discussions for simplicity.



FIG. 2. In the parameter space of N_d versus m_Q, the orange curve in the two plots above corresponds to the correct relic abundance (see Eq. (17)) for the $SU(N)_d$ glueball DM, which becomes independent of the vectorlike quark mass m_Q when they are heavy enough (the horizontal part of the curve). Such UV insensitiveness occurs as long as there is a stage in the early universe when the energy density of the vectorlike quarks becomes dominant, as shown by the yellow shaded regions. The black curve is a boundary below which the vectorlike quarks and the DM and dark sector can reach thermal equilibrium at temperature around m_Q. Above the black curve we make the assumption that the vectorlike quarks are abundant enough so that their domination in the universe is guaranteed to happen. We have fixed the value of the dark sector intrinsic scale $\Lambda_d = 1\,(100)$ keV in the left (right) plot. In the left plot, the region surrounded by the green curve has too low reheating temperature after the annihilation decay of the vectorlike quarks. In both plots, along the blue curve, one may realize unification of SM gauge couplings in the presence of the vectorlike quarks.

In the instantaneous confinement and decay approximation, the energy densities of the two sectors are reheated to

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\rho}_{\rm d}(a_c) &= \rho_{\rm d}(a_c) + \rho_{Q+\bar{Q}}(a_c)\frac{1}{1+r}\,,\\ \hat{\rho}_{\rm SM}(a_c) &= \rho_{\rm SM}(a_c) + \rho_{Q+\bar{Q}}(a_c)\frac{r}{1+r}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

With these, we can also solve for the temperatures in the two sectors after the reheating, $\mathcal{T}_r$ and T_r, respectively,

$$\mathcal{T}_r = \left[\frac{30\hat{\rho}_{\rm d}(a_c)}{2\pi^2(N_d^2-1)}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}\,, \quad T_r = \left[\frac{30\hat{\rho}_{\rm d}(a_c)}{\pi^2 g_*^{\rm d}(T_r)}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}\,. \tag{12}$$

After the Q-onium states all decayed away, both $\rho_{\rm d}$ and $\rho_{\rm SM}$ will redshift as radiation, $\sim a^{-4}$. As the universe further expands, a second phase transition will occur in the dark sector when the temperature there equals the intrinsic scale of $SU(N)_d$, *i.e.*, $\mathcal{T} = \Lambda_d$. The dark gluons will then confine into glueballs. [5] The lightest dark glueball state, ϕ, is our DM candidate, with mass $m_\phi \sim \Lambda_d$. The expansion parameter associated with the dark glueball formation will be called, a_Λ, which is equal to

$$a_\Lambda = a_c\left(\frac{15\hat{\rho}_{\rm d}(a_c)}{\pi^2(N_d^2-1)\Lambda_d^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\,. \tag{13}$$

The corresponding SM sector temperature T_Λ can be solved using, $g_{*S}^{\rm SM}(T_r)T_r^3 a_c^3 = g_{*S}^{\rm SM}(T_\Lambda)T_\Lambda^3 a_\Lambda^3$.

We assume the dark glueball energy density simply matches to that of the dark gluons,

$$\rho_\phi(a_\Lambda) = \rho_{\rm d}(a_\Lambda) = \frac{\pi^2}{15}(N_d^2-1)\Lambda_d^4\,, \tag{14}$$

and after this phase transition in the dark sector, the dark glueball quickly turns non-relativistic and its number density redshifts like pressure-less matter, [6] until today. Therefore,

$$\rho_\phi(a_0) \simeq \rho_\phi(a_\Lambda)\left(\frac{a_\Lambda}{a_0}\right)^3\,, \tag{15}$$

where a_0 stands for today. On the other hand, the energy density in the SM sector remains radiation-like until today. Again, taking into account of the possible change in the number of degrees of freedom, we get

$$\rho_{\rm SM}(a_0) = \rho_{\rm SM}(a_\Lambda)\left(\frac{g_*(T_0)}{g_*(T_\Lambda)}\frac{g_{*S}(T_\Lambda)}{g_{*S}(T_0)}\right)\left(\frac{T_0}{T_\Lambda}\right)\left(\frac{a_\Lambda}{a_0}\right)^3\,, \tag{16}$$

[5] Because the Q number density is Boltzmann suppressed after freeze out and the dark gluons are reheated by the Q-onium decay, the confinement of dark gluons always happens later than the confinement of $Q, \bar{Q}$.

[6] For dark sector temperatures below Λ_d, it is possible for the glueball DM to further slightly decrease their comoving number through the $3\phi \to 2\phi$ scattering, until such a process freezes out. The conserved quantity is ϕ's entropy. Because ϕ is already non-relativistic at this stage, the net reduction of ϕ particles is small [1, 2]. In our calculation, we neglect this effect.

where $T_0 = 2.7\,\mathrm{K}$ is the CMB photon temperature.

The ratio of the DM energy density to that in the SM sector calculated from Eqs. (15) and (16) is to be compared with the observed value today [18]

$$\frac{\rho_\phi}{\rho_{\rm SM}} = \frac{\rho_\phi}{1.68\rho_\gamma}\bigg|_{obs.} \simeq 3\times 10^3 \ , \tag{17}$$

where we have assumed the standard ratio of neutrino to photo temperature, $T_\nu = (4/11)^{1/3} T_\gamma$.

A COSMIC SELECTION RULE

Our results are shown in Fig. 2 in the parameter space of N versus m_Q, for two fixed values of $\Lambda_d = 1, 100\,\mathrm{keV}$, respectively. Along the orange curves, the glueball DM in this model could obtain the correct relic abundance. Below and to the left of the orange curves, the glueball DM is overproduced.

Based on these results, we find an interesting parameter space for the correct dark glueball relic abundance, corresponding to the horizontal part of the orange curves, where the vectorlike quarks are heavy enough and abundant enough. In this case, the energy density of the vectorlike quarks came into domination of the universe for a while before they hadronize and annihilate decay away (corresponds to the yellow shaded region in Fig. 2). A quite intriguing feature here is that, the relic abundance depends very weakly on m_Q. Instead, it is only determined by the intrinsic dark scale Λ_d and the number of dark colors N_d.

The key reason for this feature follows from observing Eq. (11). We consider the limit where the second term on the right-hand side dominates in both energy densities, *i.e.*, $\hat\rho_{\rm SM}(a_c) \simeq r\hat\rho_{\rm d}(a_c)$. In this limit, up to changes in $g_*^{\rm SM}$, the ratio of Eqs. (15) and (16) is given by,

$$\frac{\rho_\phi(a_0)}{\rho_{\rm SM}(a_0)} \sim \frac{T_\Lambda}{rT_0} \ . \tag{18}$$

It is worth noting again that the right-hand side does not depend on the vectorlike quark mass m_Q. The value of r is determined by Eq. (10), which goes as $\sim N_d^2$ in the large N_d limit. The value of T_Λ, in unit of Λ_d, can be inferred from the relation between $\mathcal{T}_r$ and T_r calculated using Eq. (12). Again, up to changes in $g_*^{\rm SM}$, we find

$$T_\Lambda \sim \Lambda_d \left(\frac{rN_d^2}{g_*^{\rm SM}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \simeq \frac{N_d\Lambda_d}{(g_*^{\rm SM})^{\frac{1}{4}}} \ . \tag{19}$$

Based on Eqs. (17), (18) and (19), we find a correlation between N_d and Λ_d that yields the correct DM relic abundance,

$$N_d \simeq c\,\Lambda_d \ , \tag{20}$$

where we find numerically, $c \simeq 15\,\mathrm{keV}^{-1}$. The relation between N_d and Λ_d is depicted in Fig. 3. For small values of Λ_d, the required N_d for relic density is slightly larger than the prediction in Eq. (20). This is mainly because the temperature at T_Λ is below the QCD phase transition, where annihilating away the hadronic sector tends to make the rest of SM particle "hotter" than estimated above. As a result, a larger value of N_d is needed to keep the ratio Eq. (18) invariant.



FIG. 3. The low energy parameter space of N_d versus Λ_d. The correct relic abundance to the glueball DM can be accommodated on the orange dots, where we assumed the vectorlike quarks to be heavy and abundant enough to dominate the early universe. The black dashed line corresponds to the approximate relation derived in Eq. (20), as a fit to the orange dots. Also shown are the regions of interest to astrophysical probes, including warm DM, self-interacting DM, as well as possible gravitational wave signals from massive compact objects formed by the glueball condensate.

It is worth reminding our reader that the dark $SU(N)_d$ intrinsic scale Λ_d is closely tied to the the glueball DM mass. As the main finding of this work, for given DM mass in this model there is a particular value of N_d for its relic abundance today, *i.e.*, the branching ratios of the vectorlike quarkonium decay in the early universe into the SM and dark sectors only depend on N_d but are largely independent of the high scale parameters such as the vectorlike quark mass. Therefore, we would like to call it *a cosmic selection rule* for the $SU(N)_d$ glueball dark matter.

We have taken into account a consistency condition which states that the decay of Q-onia must reheat the SM sector to a temperature above MeV scale so that the standard big-bang nucleosynthesis could begin. This is more relevant for lower values of Λ_d (in the left plot of Fig. 2, the region enclosed by the green curve is excluded), where the vectorlike quarks could be diluted for a longer period before they decay.

Finally, we want to point out that our findings can be applied to more general initial conditions of the early universe. Our discussions so far begin with the vectorlike quarks, SM and dark sectors all thermalized in together.

In fact, even under non-thermal initial conditions, as long as the vectorlike quarks are abundant enough to dominate the universe before confining into unstable Q-onium states, the same conclusion will remain. In Fig. 2, we have extended the relic abundance calculation into the region above the black curve, which shows the same cosmic selection rule still holds.

IMPLICATIONS OF OUR RESULTS

The hidden glueball DM is based on very simple assumptions about the dark sector, but could leave quite a few imprints in the cosmology and astrophysics. They include the self-interacting and warm DM scenarios as the consequences of the scalar glueball potential interactions, as well as the possibility of forming macroscopic compact objects (dark stars) which may source the gravitational waves. Fig. 3 also shows the regions in the N_d versus Λ_d parameter space that could accommodate these phenomena [1, 6]. These possibilities serve as incentives for future experimental tests. In contrast, in the cosmic selection rule Eq. (20), the relationship between N_d and Λ_d is derived from a different perspective by requiring a UV insensitive glueball relic density. It could serve as a theoretical guide on the favored model parameter space.

A potential consequence of the $Q, \bar{Q}$ matter domination in the early universe is the formation of minihalos and even primordial black holes, because the primordial density perturbations grow linearly during that period (see [19, 20] for discussions along this direction but within different contexts). The mass and size of these objects are typically the size of the universe around the reheating temperature after the Q-onia decay.

As the final remark, we discuss the impact of our result on the running of gauge couplings. With a large dark color number N_d, the running of dark $SU(N)_d$ coupling is slow but still asymptotic free. On the other hand, it will have a strong impact in the running of SM gauge couplings at energy scale above the vectorlike quark mass. The electroweak quantum numbers of the vectorlike quarks chosen in Eq. (1) are not important for the cosmological discussions above, but as we show below, such a particular choice allows the SM gauge couplings to unify better at much higher scales. The vectorlike quark contributions to the beta equations at one loop level are

$$\Delta b_1 = \frac{2}{15} N_d, \quad \Delta b_2 = 2 N_d, \quad \Delta b_3 = \frac{4}{3} N_d \ , \quad (21)$$

where the b_i's are defined as, $d\alpha_i/(d\ln\mu) = b_i \alpha_i^2/(2\pi)$. In fact, we find that with Q and $\bar{Q}$ it is possible to obtain unification of the three couplings if $N_d \simeq 21.4/\left(35.4 - \ln[m_Q/\mathrm{GeV}]\right)$. This relation between N_d and m_Q is shown by the blue dashed curves in Fig. 2. The unification scale associated with this curve is around 2.3×10^{15} GeV, which is close to the present proton decay bound (see, *e.g.*, [21] and references therein), given the uncertainties of GUT scale threshold corrections to the scale. To obtain the correct glueball relic abundance, we find the needed value of N_d turns out to be much higher than that for unification. As a result, at energy scales above $2m_Q$, all the SM gauge couplings would run quickly into the non-perturbative regime. This implies that the simple model we have discussed above is only effective at describing the low energy physics perturbatively, but not at energy scales and temperatures above the $2m_Q$ scale. We do not aim at deriving a high scale theory here, but rather stress again that our cosmological calculation in this work only involves physics below the m_Q scale and is largely insensitive to the UV physics, and moreover, the final glueball DM relic density almost does not depend on m_Q either.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work of AS is supported in part by the DOE Grant No. DE-AC-02-98CH10886. The work of YZ is supported by the DOE grant de-sc0010143. YZ would like to thank A. Pierce and Y. Zhao for useful discussions.

* soni@bnl.gov
† xiaohy13@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn
‡ yuezhang@northwestern.edu

[1] A. Soni and Y. Zhang, "Hidden SU(N) Glueball DM," Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 11, 115025 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.115025 [arXiv:1602.00714 [hep-ph]].

[2] E. D. Carlson, M. E. Machacek and L. J. Hall, "Self-interacting DM," Astrophys. J. **398**, 43 (1992). doi:10.1086/171833

[3] K. K. Boddy, J. L. Feng, M. Kaplinghat and T. M. P. Tait, "Self-Interacting DM from a Non-Abelian Hidden Sector," Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 11, 115017 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.115017 [arXiv:1402.3629 [hep-ph]].

[4] M. A. Buen-Abad, G. Marques-Tavares and M. Schmaltz, "Non-Abelian DM and dark radiation," Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 2, 023531 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.023531 [arXiv:1505.03542 [hep-ph]].

[5] J. E. Juknevich, D. Melnikov and M. J. Strassler, "A Pure-Glue Hidden Valley I. States and Decays," JHEP **0907**, 055 (2009) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/07/055 [arXiv:0903.0883 [hep-ph]].

[6] A. Soni and Y. Zhang, "Gravitational Waves From SU(N) Glueball DM," arXiv:1610.06931 [hep-ph].

[7] R. da Rocha, "Dark SU(N) glueball stars on fluid branes," arXiv:1701.00761 [hep-ph].

[8] A. E. Faraggi and M. Pospelov, "Selfinteracting DM from the hidden heterotic string sector," Astropart. Phys. **16**, 451 (2002) doi:10.1016/S0927-6505(01)00121-9 [hep-ph/0008223].

[9] N. Yamanaka, S. Fujibayashi, S. Gongyo and H. Iida, "DM in the hidden gauge theory," arXiv:1411.2172 [hep-ph].

[10] L. Forestell, D. E. Morrissey and K. Sigurdson, "Non-Abelian Dark Forces and the Relic Densities of Dark Glueballs," Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 1, 015032 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.015032 [arXiv:1605.08048 [hep-ph]].

[11] J. Halverson, B. D. Nelson and F. Ruehle, "String Theory and the Dark Glueball Problem," Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 4, 043527 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.043527 [arXiv:1609.02151 [hep-ph]].

[12] B. S. Acharya, M. Fairbairn and E. Hardy, "Glueball dark matter in non-standard cosmologies," arXiv:1704.01804 [hep-ph].

[13] J. M. Cornwall and A. Soni, "Glueballs as Bound States of Massive Gluons," Phys. Lett. B **120**, 431 (1983). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(83)90481-1

[14] C. J. Morningstar and M. J. Peardon, "The Glueball spectrum from an anisotropic lattice study," Phys. Rev. D **60**, 034509 (1999) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.60.034509 [hep-lat/9901004].

[15] B. Lucini, A. Rago and E. Rinaldi, "Glueball masses in the large N limit," JHEP **1008**, 119 (2010) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2010)119 [arXiv:1007.3879 [hep-lat]].

[16] B. Lucini and M. Teper, "SU(N) gauge theories in four-dimensions: Exploring the approach to N = infinity," JHEP **0106**, 050 (2001) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2001/06/050 [hep-lat/0103027].

[17] J. M. Cline, W. Huang and G. D. Moore, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 5, 055029 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.055029 [arXiv:1607.07865 [hep-ph]].

[18] C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 40, 100001 (2016).

[19] J. Georg and S. Watson, "A Preferred Mass Range for Primordial Black Hole Formation and Black Holes as DM Revisited," arXiv:1703.04825 [astro-ph.CO].

[20] Y. Zhang, "Long-lived Light Mediator to DM and Primordial Small Scale Spectrum," JCAP **1505**, no. 05, 008 (2015) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/05/008 [arXiv:1502.06983 [hep-ph]].

[21] K. Harigaya, T. Lin and H. K. Lou, "GUTzilla DM," JHEP **1609**, 014 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2016)014 [arXiv:1606.00923 [hep-ph]].